

Exhibit B

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

3 IN RE DEPAKOTE:)

4 STACY BARTOLINI, individually)
5 and as parent and next friend)
6 of H.B., a minor,)

7 Plaintiff(s),)

8 v.)

9 ABBOTT LABORATORIES, INC.,)

10 Defendant.)

Case No. 3:17-cv-1146-SMY

Lead Case 3:12-cv-52-NJR

11 TRANSCRIPT OF FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE STACI M. YANDLE
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

14 November 15, 2017

15
16
17
18
19
20 REPORTED BY: Christine Dohack LaBuwi, RMR, CRR
21 Official Court Reporter
22 301 West Main Street
23 Benton, Illinois 62812
24 (618) 439-7725
25 Christine_Dohack@ilsd.uscourts.gov

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, produced
by computer-aided transcription.

1 APPEARANCES:

2 FOR PLAINTIFF: John E. Williams, Jr., Esq.
John T. Boundas, Esq.
3 Margot G. Trevino, Esq.
Brian A. Abramson, Esq.
4 Sejal K. Brahmbhatt, Esq.
WILLIAMS KHERKHER HART BOUNDAS, LLP
5 8441 Gulf Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, TX 77017
6 (713) 230-2330
jwilliams@williamskherkher.com
7 jboundas@williamskherkher.com
mtrevino@williamskherkher.com
8 babramson@williamskherkher.com
sbrahmbhatt@williamskherkher.com
9

10 FOR DEFENDANT: Dan H. Ball, Esq.
Stefan Mallen, Esq.
11 BRYAN CAVE, LLP
One Metropolitan Square
12 211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600
St. Louis, MO 63102
13 (314) 259-2000
dball@bryancave.com
14 samallen@bryancave.com

15 Joel H. Smith, Esq.
Paula Miles Burlison, Esq.
16 BOWMAN AND BROOKE, LLP
1441 Main Street, Suite 1200
17 Columbia, SC 29201
(803) 726-7422
18 joel.smith@bowmanandbrooke.com
paula.burlinson@bowmanandbrooke.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 on the phone, and they record this --

2 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to have to cut you
3 off, Mr. Williams.

4 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.

5 THE COURT: Because I'm not sure where that, where
6 that fits in with where we are right now. And, and I --
7 again, I think that essentially, I get the arguments. I
8 understand what the plaintiffs' position is as to the
9 relevancy of that particular conclusion and opinion. I
10 understand the defendants' position as to the irrelevancy
11 and the prejudicial effect.

12 It comes down, to me, a combination of two things:
13 The relevancy, which I understand is background and
14 context; and the bases. And so what you have given me
15 today, Mr. Williams, in the binder is going to answer
16 probably all -- most of my questions that I had about how
17 he came to this opinion and what the basis is.

18 Once I understand that, I'm going to be prepared
19 to rule on that.

20 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: I'm going to take that part under
22 advisement.

23 And, Michelle, I think we're talking about Motion
24 in Limine No. -- I'm sorry, we're still on the *Daubert*.

25 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Your Honor.